

FDA/CORH/COE/DMC

kh\$20[] - \$614511 MO:14

December 8, 1998

Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health Office of Health and Industry Programs (HFZ215) 1350 Piccard Avenue Rockville Center, MD 20850

To Whom It May Concern:

"513(e) Petition"

The accompanying documents support our Petition for Reclassification of Fiber Optic Light Sources.

If the documents require clarification or if additional information is required please contact me at 606-231-0338 or FAX 606 231-0376.

Ira Cooper

President/CEO

998-0895

CCPI

## PETITION FOR RECLASSIFICATION OF A MEDICAL DEVICE

It is requested that the Classification of Fiber Optic Light Sources, 78 FCW-Regulation 876-1500 be changed from Class II to Class I.

The referenced Light Sources are not implantable devices; are non-invasive; do not come in contact with the patient's body; are not life sustaining or life supporting.

The light sources do not require special controls, and are approved under UL Safety Standards for Medical Devices, UL 2601. There is no potential hazard to the patient or the medical personnel. There is sufficient information to determine that general controls are sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness.

EUROPEAN UNION MEDICAL DEVICES DIRECTIVE 93/42 EEC
ANNEX IV CLASSIFICATION III NON-INVASIVE DEVICES 1.1
Rule 1. States:
"All non-invasive devices are Class I unless one of
the rules set out hereinafter applies:
Non-invasive devices intended for channeling or storing blood,
body liquids or tissue, liquids or gasses for the eventual
infusion, administration or introduction into the body which
would be Class II."

The CDRH checklist "General Device Classification" questionnaire states that if the device is:

- Not life supporting
- Not a device for a use which is of substantial importance in preventing impairment of human health.
- Does not present a potential unreasonable risk of illness or injury.
- There is sufficient information to determine that general controls are sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness, then the Device is Class I."

The 876.1500 light sources conform to both of the above criteria.

While it is understood that FDA (CDRH) would not lower its standards if it was necessary to meet the European Directives, it is

felt that both the EU MDD and the CDRH checklist describe the 876.1500 light sources.

We do not recommend exemptions to Registration, Records and Reports or cGMP/ Quality Systems.

W.D REED

Director,

**Regulatory Affairs** 

QED Inc.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0910-C138			
GENERAL DEVICE CLASSIFICATION QUESTIONNAIR	EXPIRATION DATE: January 1, 2000 (See OMB Statement on Page 2)			
PANEL MEMBER / PETITIONER	XINGTON	DATE		
QEDING, INO ENTERPRISE DR	40510	12/5/98		
QEDING, ISO ENTERPRISE DR GENERIC TYPE OF DEVICE FIBER OPTIC LIGHT SOURCES	CLHS			
1. IS THE DEVICE LIFE-SUSTAINING OR LIFE-SUPPORTING?	YES X	Go to Item 2.		
2. IS THE DEVICE FOR A USE WHICH IS OF SUBSTANTIAL IMPORTANCE IN PREVENTING IMPAIRMENT OF HUMAN HEALTH?	☐ YES 🔀	IO Go to item 3.		
3. DOES THE DEVICE PRESENT A POTENTIAL UNREASONABLE RISK OF ILLNESS OR INJURY?	☐ YES 🗵 N	Go to Item 4.		
4. DID YOU ANSWER "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE 3 QUESTIONS ?	TES I	if "Yes," go to Item 7.  If "No," go to Item 5.		
5. IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO DETERMINE THAT GENERAL CONTROLS ARE SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS?	X YES D			
6. IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ESTABLISH SPECIAL CONTROLS TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS?	YES DO	if "Yes," go to Item 7.  If "No," Classify in Class I.		
7. IS THERE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ESTABLISH SPECIAL CONTROLS TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS? IF YES, CHECK THE SPECIAL CONTROL(S) NEEDED TO PROVIDE SUCH REASONABLE ASSURANCE. FOR CLASS II.	YES N	If "Yes," Classify in Class II If "No," Classify in Class III		
Postmarket Surveillance				
Performance Standard(s)				
Palient Registries				
Device Tracking				
Testing Guidelines				
Other (specify)				
	1			
	1			
	1			
8. IF A REGULATORY PERFORMANCE STANDARD IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF THE SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF A CLASS II OR III DEVICE, IDENTIFY THE PRIORITY FOR ESTABLISHING SUCH A STANDARD.				
Low Priority		j		
Medium Priority				
High Priority — N///				
☐ Not Applicable				
9. FOR A DEVICE RECOMMENDED FOR RECLASSIFICATION INTO CLASS II, SHOULD THE RECOMMENDED REGULATORY PERFORMANCE STANDARD BE IN	YES NO			
PLACE BEFORE THE RECLASSIFICATION TAKES EFFECT?	NOT Applicable	. :		
10. FOR A DEVICE RECOMMENDED FOR CLASSIFICATION / RECLASSIFICATION INTO CLASS III, IDENTIFY THE PRIORITY FOR REQUIRING PREMARKET APPROVAL APPLICATION (PMA) SUBMISSIONS.				
Low Priority				
Medium Priority				
Not Applicable		1		

11a.	CAN THERE OTHERWISE BE REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF ITS SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS WITHOUT RESTRICTIONS ON ITS SALE, DISTRIBUTION OR USE, BECAUSE OF ANY POTENTIALITY FOR HARMFUL EFFECT OR THE COLLATERAL MEASURES NECESSARY FOR THE DEVICE'S USE?	⊠YES □ NO	If "Yes," go to Item 12.
11b.	DENTIFY THE NEEDED RESTRICTION(S) (If item 11a, was checked "NO.")   Only upon the written or oral authorization of a practitioner licensed by law to administer or use the device   Use only by persons with specific training or experience in its use   Use only in certain facilities   Other (Specify)	NA	
12. (	COMPLETE THIS FORM FURSUANT TO 21 CFR PART 850 AND SUBMIT TO: Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological He Office of Health and Industry Programs 1350 Piccard Drive Rockville, MD 20850		

## **OMB STATEMENT**

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1-2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden estimate.

DHHS Reports Clearance Officer, Paperwork Reduction Project (0910-0138)
Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 531-H
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20201

(Please DO NOT RETURN this form to this address.)

	Supplementary Data Sheet Summary of Reasons for Classification				
l.	Device Name FIBER OPTIC LIGHT JOURCE				
2.	Device Name FIBER OPTIC LIGHT SOURCE  Classification Panel 18 FCW ROSUMATION 876-1500				
3.	Is device an implant?				
4.	Indications for use prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the device's labeling that were considered by the panel				
5	Identification of any risks to health presented by device				
ο.	•				
	General NonE				
	Specific Hazards Characteristic or Feature of Device				
	to Health / Associated with Hazard				
a.	a				
L	L IA				
ь.	b				
c.	c				
d.	d				
6	Recommended panel classification and priority				
0.	Recommended paner classification and priority				
	Classification Priority (Class II or [[[ Only)				
	CLASS I				
7.	7. If device is an implant, or is life-sustaining or life-supporting, and has been classified in a category other than Class III, explain fully reasons for the lower classification with supporting documentation and data				
	. ]				
	71/1				

8.	Summary of data including clinical experience or judgment upon which	
	classification recommendation is based	
	none invasive	(
	no hazard to patient of med person	رو
	Safty (UL) Tested & exproved	
9.	Identification of any needed restrictions on the use of the device	
	Nous	
	700.00	
0.	If device is in Class I, recommend whether FDA should exempt it from:	
	Justification/COMMENTS	
	Registration 8. No CHANCE	
a.	Tree to the tree t	
ь.	Records and Reports b. <u>RECOMMENDED</u>	
ሶ.	Good Manufacturing Practice	
••		
•	Existing standards applicable to the device, device subassemblies (components), or device materials (parts and accessories)	
	GMP/QUALITY SYSTEMS	
		ł.
		·
	•	

## CLASSIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE FORM

Panel Kember:			(	Oate: /	12/5/98
Device: FIBER OPTIC LIGHT	<i>-</i> _	5	ir E	~ F	-
•					
Use Categories:   Diagnostic   Monitoring   Prosthe	<u>:1c []</u>	Surg	(CA)	Thera	peutic Other
Regulatory Level: I. General Controls Sp. II. Performance Standards III. Premarket Approval	ecifi	c de	vice	orcbl #	ns: Yes No
Classification System	Yes	Но	Hat	Regu- latory Level	Question Scheme
- Custom Hade?		X			Yes-2 No-3
. Custom Made: Standard?		X			Yes No 17
. Life-sustaining?	i	X X			Yes5 No4
. Potentially hazardous to life, good health		X			Yes } 5 No7
<ul> <li>(a) Can standards be developed now; and</li> <li>(b) would standard be adequate?</li> </ul>	X				Yes7 No DNK6
. Marketed in U.S.?	X				Yes 7
. Remote from body?	X				Tes14 No 18
. Powered?	X				Yes9 No13
. Failure of power: hazardous to patient?		X.			Yes ONK 10
O.Introduce energy into body?	1	Xi			1es11 No13
1.Acceptable energy levels?		1			Yes 12
2.Safe energy levels if malfunction?	/				Yes No 13
3.Material regarded as safe without standard:	X				Yes No 14 DNK
4.Proscriptions needed? limitation, hazards, difficulties, problems		X			Yes } 15
5.Labeling, instructions or precautions on measurement function?		X			Yes No } 16
6.Performance Standards?					Yes } 17.
7. Special safety systems considerations?		X			Yes 18
8.Potentially hazardous to fatus and/or gonads	i	X	ĺ	1	765 TO 250 250
Low Vensity Coding Form	i	X			

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICE — FOOD AND DRUG ADMIN SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SHEE	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0910-0138 EXPIRATION DATE: January 1, 2000 (See OMB Statement on Page 2)	
1. GENERIC TYPE OF DEVICE  FIRER OPTIC LIGHT		PFCW. Rog: 876:1500
2. ADVISORY PANEL	JOURCES (78	3. IS DEVICE AN IMPLANT?
4. INDICATIONS FOR USE PRESCRIBED, RECOMMENDED, OR SUGGESTE	D IN THE DEVICE'S LABELING TH	AT WERE CONSIDERED BY THE ADVISORY
SAFETY UL 2601		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	M kiliko mi kaga dagili da kiki dangga pajabih kiliki unumi padi dibu aga kenggi kinasan kendan pada.	
		HI 1 THE
5. IDENTIFICATION OF ANY RISKS TO HEALTH PRESENTED BY DEVICE		
General Non-	The selface ( paper gar the ) a response of course course and the selection of the black as a 5 paper because a selection of the selection of	
	T-objection and the convergence was provided by the behavior of the convergence of the behavior of the convergence of the conve	•
	erriger rader megra generi filmlik belgik og 15 av sekt stødt i byde op det \$0000 kg i opp - type rikers sennen	
	. Training a given warm or or own and the Committee of th	
Specific Hazards to Health	Characteristics or Features of	Device Associated with Hazard
1 26		A
b. Morie		
d	d,	all that from the specific in the control of the co
6. RECOMMENDED ADVISORY PANEL CLASSIFICATION AND PRIORITY		
Classification		
<ol><li>IF DEVICE IS AN IMPLANT, OR IS LIFE-SUSTAINING OR LIFE-SUPPORTING FULLY, THE REASONS FOR THE LOWER CLASSIFICATION WITH SUPPOR</li></ol>	I AND HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED IN A TING DOCUMENTATION AND DAT	A CATEGORY OTHER THAN CLASS III, EXPLAIN TA
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NA		
and their data and their terms		angga dalik kalan saan ani mangga kang daga daga daga da kang
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	DGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	IDGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	DGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FICATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	IDGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FICATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	IDGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	IDGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	DGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CUNICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU	DGMENT, UPON WHICH CLASSI	FICATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
8. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING CLINICAL EXPERIENCE OR JU		FICATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
	DEVICE	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED
9. IDENTIFICATION OF ANY NEEDED RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF THE	DEVICE	FIGATION RECOMMENDATION IS BASED

10. IF DEVICE IS IN CLASS I, RECOMMEND WHETH	
i _	Justification / Comments
Registration / Device Listing	
	1 0 0 0 0 0 0
b. Premarket Notification	NOT KECOMMENDING
The state of the s	NOT RECOMMENDING CHANGES
□ - B#- 004 B#-	CHANGES
c. Records and Reports	
[)	
d. Good Manufacturing Practice	
11. EXISTING STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO THE DE	VICE, DEVICE SUBASSEMBLIES (Components) OR DEVICE MATERIALS (Parts and Accessories)
reference concentrate to the states and the second of a property of the second of the	
ng TIII 1986 - Mile China - Coman ang Culus , ya katifi Palita in manakan 1880 - Mila 1880 - Mila 1880 - Mila 1	
Of the state of the Transfer is to dead for the state of a state o	
	19 - This is a second to the s
12. COMPLETE THIS FORM PURSUANT TO 21 CFR F	PART 860 AND SUBMIT TO:
Food and Drug Admin	istration
. Center for Devices an	d Radiological Health
	idustry Programs (HFZ-215)
1350 Piccard Drive	······································
Rockville, MD 20850	

## OMB STATEMENT

Public reporting burden for this collection of Information is estimated to average 1-2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to:

DHHS Reports Clearance Officer, Paperwork Reduction Project (0910-0138) Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 531-H 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20201